

TIMES-VIRGINIAN

WEDNESDAY, AUG. 31, 1921.

At the meeting of the Democratic State Committee yesterday in Richmond, H. D. Flood was elected Chairman without opposition, to succeed the late R. A. James. Mr. Flood's energy and knowledge of the politics of Virginia assures the nominees that the Democratic vote will be polled at the November election, and there will be no doubt as to the result when the Democrats are aroused. The Committee has acted wisely and the greatest victory of the party in recent years awaits the November election.

When and How Women to Register

The Attorney General, under date of March 3, 1921, ruled that the women of Virginia may register at any time from now, up to and including October 8, 1921, by paying the Treasurer \$1.50 poll tax.

Registrars will register women who apply to them at any time, up to and including October 8, on which day Registrars will sit at their voting place, to register those applying to be registered. This is generally known as Registration Day, and is the last day on which anyone can register to vote at the November election.

The method to be pursued by a woman who desires to qualify herself to vote at the November election is to have the Commissioner of the Revenue assess her for taxation, take his certificate to the County Treasurer, and pay him \$1.50 poll tax for the year 1921; then present the Treasurer's receipt for \$1.50 poll tax to the registrar of her precinct and be registered.

The women who voted last year, or who have since then registered, will not be required to pay the poll tax in order to vote at the gubernatorial election in November, they having already paid the poll tax for the year 1921.

Buckingham

They say a person is no older than he or she feels, well now how about this? Sometimes I feel like a two-year-old, and then again I feel as old as I am.

Many of us spent pleasant and profitable hours listening to Dr. Taylor preach last week; there were quite a number of converts all of whom I think were young people and there was a baptizing in Slate river on Saturday.

Willie's Mountain has come into special notice as a pleasure resort and on Wednesday evening of last week a crowd of young people in automobiles met there as they usually do, coming from many different directions. Among them I mention representatives of the following families: Bondurant, Ellis, Haskins, Jones, Elean, Baldwin, Cox, Austin, Ferguson and Allen. Some day an enterprising syndicate or individual will establish a dance hall, hotel and other places of amusement and entertainment at this picturesque place and then I will look on and say I told you so.

I was besieged by men and women who wanted to gather peas on shares. I let them gather all right, but at the same time I cut a nice lot and got them up peas vines and all and stored them away. Some tobacco has been cut that was planted in May. Some of the corn on my Slate River farm is simply magnificent and if we do not have a flood as we did last year farmers on the streams will harvest fine crops. I hear that corn in the James River Valley is very fine.

Wood haulers are busy hauling in winter's supply, for which they get \$3 a cord delivered. I bought two pairs of old fashioned Kentucky jeans at about \$1.70 a pair delivered and they are first rate. I mentioned in last week's paper that Circuit Court would be held here in September but the clerk of the court informs me that Judge Hundley changed the time to Tuesday after the 2nd Monday in October and the November term is changed to Tuesday after the 2nd Monday in December.

The farmers picnic was held at the Court House on Saturday and there was a ball game in the afternoon between the Court House team and the

Andersonville team. Francisco District will undertake to standardize the school at Andersonville.

Featherston Gives School Figures

Editor Times-Virginian: I notice in last week's issue of your paper an article from Mr. T. J. Ligon, commenting on my answer to "Roy S." I was surprised that he gave so few facts, when he seemed so anxious to have all the facts given, which facts he so well knew. In my answer to "Roy S." I did not go into revenues, Mr. Ligon leaves entirely the point I made as to the number of teachers compared to the enrollment at Pamplin, and tries to justify the large number of teachers there by showing the tuition from Prince Edward amounted to \$322 State funds \$600 and private subscription \$900 thereby reducing cost per pupil per month from \$3.50 to \$2.43, which may be all that the Clover Hill District pays, but the fact is there were 177 pupils enrolled in the Pamplin school for the term of 1920-21, and the teachers salary amounted to \$5625, therefore my figures of \$3.50 per pupil per month will stand. Mr. Ligon knows that if my recommendation for an eight month term with five teachers had been accepted by the school board that the same revenue from Prince Edward Co. would have been paid for tuition, except for the ninth month, which would have been about \$90 less. If Mr. Ligon wanted all the facts stated, why did he not state that the Appomattox High School received from the State fund for accredited schools \$600 just as Pamplin did, and that tuition from pupils outside of Appomattox Co. attending the Appomattox school, and room rent amounted to \$1200 making a total of \$1800, which if it is to be figured according to Mr. Ligon's way, would greatly reduce the cost of the Appomattox school. As to the \$300 paid by private subscription for teachers' salary. Why was it put to another purpose? Mr. Ligon says it was used by the school board to apply on a certain note to State Bank of Pamplin for additional building to Pamplin school. I was informed some months ago that the \$300 would be paid to help run the school the past term, and in making up the estimate of expenses for the year, I counted on that; and at the last moment we had to ask the Board of Supervisors for that much more out of the county fund to bring the school board out of debt.

Why Suffer?

Cardui "Did Wonders for Me," Declares This Lady.

"I suffered for a long time with womanly weakness," says Mrs. J. R. Simpson, of 57 Grand St., Asheville, N. C. "I finally got to the place where it was an effort for me to go. I would have been down stairs in my side and back—especially severe across my back, and down in my side there was a great deal of soreness. I was nervous and easily upset."

TAKE

CARDUI

The Woman's Tonic

"I heard of Cardui and decided to use it," continues Mrs. Simpson. "I saw shortly it was benefiting me, so I kept it up and it did wonders for me. And since then I have been glad to praise Cardui. It is the best woman's tonic made. Weak women need a tonic. Thousands and thousands, like Mrs. Simpson, have found Cardui of benefit to them. Try Cardui for your trouble."

ALL

DRUGGISTS

1-50

known to Mr. Ligon, is as follows: For the school session of 1919-20 Mr. Ligon presented a request from the patrons of the Pamplin school asking for an accredited school, and said at the same time he opposed it as there was not sufficient funds, the rest of the board concurring. The next board meeting he presented another proposition, that the additional revenues from State fund private subscription and additional tuition from other counties would take care of the situation, and there would be no more cost to the board than the five teachers and eight months. My reply was, if that will be the case, let them have it. But when the session ended, the school board had to pay nearly \$500 of the extra cost and as there was not as much in eight for extra cost for the next session, and they wanted two additional rooms, just to have an accredited school, when there was room enough to comfortably take care of the pupils, I opposed it, and would not sign papers for a loan from the Literary Fund, and recommended an eight month term and five teachers, but instead the school was run nine months with seven teachers, which, after giving credit for the 900 cost the district over \$1300 of the extra expense when there was only one pupil in the fourth year high school to get the benefit of the diploma that will admit that pupil to

any college or university. Respectfully, N. R. Featherston, Supt. (Whether a further discussion of how the funds have been disbursed for schools can be had with profit to our readers, we are in doubt. Should Mr. Ligon deem it necessary to reply to the above reason able space will be allowed for that purpose and the discussion will be closed as far as this paper is concerned—EDITOR)

J. M. PINKARD,

SURVEYOR, Appomattox, Va. Write for appointments.

In the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of the County of Appomattox, on the 15th day of August, 1921.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

known to Mr. Ligon, is as follows: For the school session of 1919-20 Mr. Ligon presented a request from the patrons of the Pamplin school asking for an accredited school, and said at the same time he opposed it as there was not sufficient funds, the rest of the board concurring. The next board meeting he presented another proposition, that the additional revenues from State fund private subscription and additional tuition from other counties would take care of the situation, and there would be no more cost to the board than the five teachers and eight months. My reply was, if that will be the case, let them have it. But when the session ended, the school board had to pay nearly \$500 of the extra cost and as there was not as much in eight for extra cost for the next session, and they wanted two additional rooms, just to have an accredited school, when there was room enough to comfortably take care of the pupils, I opposed it, and would not sign papers for a loan from the Literary Fund, and recommended an eight month term and five teachers, but instead the school was run nine months with seven teachers, which, after giving credit for the 900 cost the district over \$1300 of the extra expense when there was only one pupil in the fourth year high school to get the benefit of the diploma that will admit that pupil to

J. M. PINKARD,

SURVEYOR, Appomattox, Va. Write for appointments.

In the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of the County of Appomattox, on the 15th day of August, 1921.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

James M. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. J. W. Flood, Defendant.

any college or university. Respectfully, N. R. Featherston, Supt. (Whether a further discussion of how the funds have been disbursed for schools can be had with profit to our readers, we are in doubt. Should Mr. Ligon deem it necessary to reply to the above reason able space will be allowed for that purpose and the discussion will be closed as far as this paper is concerned—EDITOR)

J. M. PINKARD,